arXiv:1406.7636v2 [gr-qc] 4 Dec 2015

# Stability of Einstein Static Universe over Lyra Geometry

F. Darabi[1,2*], Y. Heydarzade[1†]and F. Hajkarim[3‡]

[1]*Department of Physics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz 53714-161, Iran*

[2]*Research Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics of Maragha (RIAAM), Maragha 55134-441, Iran*

[3] *Department of Physics, Shahid Beheshti University, Evin, Tehran 19839, Iran*

December 7, 2015

**Abstract**

The existence and stability conditions of Einstein static universe against homogeneous scalar perturbations in the context of Lyra geometry is investigated. The stability condition is obtained in terms of the constant equation of state parameter $\omega = p/\rho$ depending on energy density $\rho_0$ and scale factor $a_0$ of the initial Einstein static universe. Also, the stability against vector and tensor perturbations is studied. It is shown that a stable Einstein static universe can be found in the context of Lyra geometry against scalar, vector and tensor perturbations for suitable range and values of physical parameters.

Keywords:Einstein static universe, stability, perturbations, Lyra Geometry

Pacs: 11.25.Wx, 04.50.Kd, 98.80.Cq

## 1 Introduction

In 1918, a generalization of Riemannian geometry in order to unify gravitation and electromagnetism was proposed by Weyl [1]. Weyl theory was not taken seriously because of the concept of non-integrability of length. This non-integrability means that spectral frequencies of atoms depend on their past histories and different atoms should not be emitting radiation at the same frequency since they have different histories. In 1951, Lyra proposed another modification of Riemannian geometry by introducing a gauge function into the structureless manifold [2], see also [3]. In Lyra geometry, unlike Weyl geometry, the connection is metric preserving as in Riemannian geometry which represents that length transfers are integrable. This means

*email: f.darabi@azaruniv.edu

†email: heydarzade@azaruniv.edu

‡email: sadra.f.hajkarim@gmail.com

that the length of a vector is conserved upon parallel transports, as in Riemannian geometry. Based on Lyra geometry, a new scalar-tensor theory of gravitation which was an analogue of the Einstein field equations was proposed by Sen and Dunn [4, 5] and also is recently studied in [6]. An interesting feature of this model of scalar-tensor gravity is that both the scalar and tensor fields have intrinsic geometrical significance in contrast to the well-known Brans–Dicke theory, in which the tensor field alone is geometrized and the scalar field remains alien to the geometry. In Brans–Dicke theory of gravity, the scalar field not only is not a geometrical quantity but also is not a matter field; instead it determines the inverse of the gravitational coupling parameter and in this sense it appears as a part of gravity. This is the reason why the scalar field of Brans–Dicke theory does not appear in the geodesic equation for both of the massive and massless particles [7]. In contrast to the Lyra geometry in which the scalar field possesses intrinsic geometrical origin, the above mentioned feature of Brans–Dicke theory seems to be inconvenient in the sense that although the scalar field appears as a part of the gravitational field, it is not a part of the geometry of spacetime.

Also, introducing the gauge function into the structureless manifold in the framework of Lyra geometry leads to naturally arising the cosmological constant from the geometry, instead of introducing it in a ad hoc way. In fact, the constant displacement vector field plays the role of cosmological constant which can be responsible for the late-time cosmological acceleration of universe [8]. Beside the late time acceleration problem prevailing the present cosmological models, the existence of big bang singularity is another failure of general theory of relativity. Recently, a new mechanism for avoiding the big bang singularity was proposed in the framework of the emergent universe scenario [9]. The emergent universe scenario is a past-eternal inflationary model in which the horizon problem is solved before the beginning of inflation and the big-bang singularity is removed. In this cosmological model the early universe oscillates indeterminately about an initial Einstein static universe (ESU). Also, in this model no exotic physics is involved and the quantum gravity regime can be avoided. However, this cosmological model suffers from a fine-tuning problem which can be ameliorated by modifications to the cosmological equations of general theory of relativity. By this motivation, analogous static solutions and their stabilities have been explored in the context of different modified theories of gravity as $f(R)$ gravity [10, 11, 12], $f(T)$ gravity [13], Einstein-Cartan theory [14], nonconstant pressure models [15] , Horava-Lifshitz gravity [16], loop quantum cosmology [17] and brane gravity [18, 19, 20]. Some authors tried to solve these main problems of standard model of cosmology based on Lyra geometry. The authors of [21] showed that within the framework of Lyra geometry, the space-time of the universe is not only free of the Big Bang singularity but also exhibits acceleration during its evolution. Also, the empty and nonempty FRW models based on Lyra geometry with time dependant displacement vector were studied in [22]. It is shown that the obtained models can solve the singularity, horizon and

entropy problems which exist in the standard models of cosmology based on Riemannian geometry.

In this paper, firstly we have a short review on Lyra geometry. Then, we investigate the existence and stability conditions of Einstein static universe against homogeneous scalar, vector and tensor perturbations in the context of this model. The modified field equations are studied with respect to the perturbations in the cosmic scale factor $a(t)$ and the energy density $\rho(t)$, both depending only on time parameter. We consider the evolution of field equations up to linear perturbations and neglect all higher order terms. For the case of scalar perturbations, the stability condition is obtained in terms of the constant equation of state parameter $\omega = p/\rho$ depending on energy density $\rho_0$ and scale factor $a_0$ of the initial Einstein static universe. The stability against vector and tensor perturbations is also studied briefly. A general neutral stability is found for vector perturbations, but for the tensor perturbations the neutral stability needs some suitable ranges and values of the cosmological parameters $k$, $\omega$, and $\beta_0^2$ .

## 2 Lyra Geometry

Lyra geometry is a modification of Riemannian geometry by introducing a gauge function into the structureless manifold [2], see also [3]. Lyra defined a displacement vector between two neighboring points $P(x^\mu)$ and $Q(x^\mu + dx^\mu)$ as $Adx^\mu$ where $A = A(x^\mu)$ is a non-zero gauge function of the coordinates. The gauge function $A(x^\mu)$ together with the coordinate system $x^\mu$ form a reference system $(A, x^\mu)$. The transformation to a new reference system $(\bar{A}, \bar{x}^\mu)$ is given by the following functions

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A} = &\quad \bar{A}(A, x^\mu), \\ \bar{x}^\mu = &\quad \bar{x}^\mu(x^\mu), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\frac{\partial \bar{A}}{\partial A} \neq 0$ and $det(\frac{\partial \bar{x}^\mu}{\partial x^\nu}) \neq 0$.

The symmetric affine connections $\tilde{\Gamma}^\mu_{\nu\sigma}$ on this manifold is given by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^\mu_{\nu\sigma} = \frac{1}{A}\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}(\delta^\mu_\nu \phi_\sigma + \delta^\mu_\sigma \phi_\nu - g_{\nu\sigma}\phi^\mu), \tag{2}$$

where the connection $\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\sigma}$ is defined in terms of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ as in Riemannian geometry and $\phi^\mu = g^{\mu\nu}\phi_\nu$ is the so-called displacement vector field of Lyra geometry. It is shown by Lyra [2], and also by Sen [4], that in any general reference system, the displacement vector field $\phi^\mu$ arise as a natural consequence of the formal introduction of the gauge function $A(x^\mu)$ into the structureless manifold. Equation (2) shows

that the component of the affine connection not only depend on metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ but also on the displacement vector filed $\phi^{\mu}$. The line element (metric) in Lyra geometry is given by

$$ds^2 = A^2 g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}, \tag{3}$$

which is invariant under both of the coordinate and gauge transformations. The infinitesimal parallel transport of a vector field $V^{\mu}$ is given by

$$\delta V^{\mu} = \hat{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\nu\sigma} V^{\nu} A dx^{\sigma}, \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\nu\sigma} = \tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\phi_{\sigma}$ which is not symmetric with respect to $\nu$ and $\sigma$. In Lyra geometry, unlike Weyl geometry, the connection is metric preserving as in Riemannian geometry which indicates that length transfers are integrable. This means that the length of a vector is conserved upon parallel transports, as in Riemannian geometry.

The curvature tensor of Lyra geometry is defined in the same manner as Riemannian geometry and is given by

$$\tilde{R}^{\mu}_{\nu\rho\sigma} = A^{-2}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\rho}}(A\hat{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\nu\sigma}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\sigma}}(A\hat{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}) + A^2\left(\hat{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\lambda\rho}\hat{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\nu\sigma} - \hat{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\lambda\sigma}\hat{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\nu\rho}\right)\right]. \tag{5}$$

Then, the curvature scalar of Lyra geometry will be

$$\tilde{R} = A^{-2}R + 3A^{-1}\nabla_{\mu}\phi^{\mu} + \frac{3}{2}\phi^{\mu}\phi_{\mu} + 2A^{-1}(logA^2)_{,\mu}\phi^{\mu}, \tag{6}$$

where $R$ is the Riemannian curvature scalar and the covariant derivative is taken with respect to the Christoffel symbols of the Riemannian geometry.

The invariant volume integral in four dimensional Lyra manifold is given by

$$I = \int \sqrt{-g}\, L\, (Adx)^4, \tag{7}$$

where $L$ is an invariant scalar in this geometry. Using the normal gauge $A = 1$ and $L = \tilde{R}$ through the equations (6) and (7) results in

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R} &= R + 3\nabla_{\mu}\phi^{\mu} + \frac{3}{2}\phi^{\mu}\phi_{\mu}, \\ I &= \int \sqrt{-g}\, \tilde{R}\, d^4x. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The field equations can be obtained using the variational principle as

$$\delta(I + I_m) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where $I$ is given by equation (8) and $I_m$ is the action for matter fields as

$$I_m = \int \sqrt{-g}\, \mathcal{L}\, d^4x, \tag{10}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the lagrangian density of matter fields. Then, the modified Einstein equations of Lyra geometry with normal gauge will have the following form

$$G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{2}\phi_\mu\phi_\nu + \frac{3}{4}g_{\mu\nu}\phi^\alpha\phi_\alpha, \tag{11}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor and the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ has arisen from the variation of matter fields action $I_m$. In the literature there are two choices for displacement vector [8, 22], the general time dependent displacement vector

$$\phi^\mu = (\beta(t), 0, 0, 0), \tag{12}$$

and constant displacement vector

$$\phi^\mu = (\beta, 0, 0, 0), \tag{13}$$

where the constant displacement vector field may play the role of the cosmological constant which can be responsible for the late-time cosmological acceleration of universe [8]. Through this work, in order to have general form of field equations, we will consider its general time dependent form. Also, for the verification of cosmological effects, we consider FRW metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2\left(\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2d\Omega^2\right), \tag{14}$$

where $a(t)$ is the cosmic scale factor and the spatial curvature $k = +1, -1$ or $0$ corresponds to the closed, open or flat universes, respectively. The energy momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ can be considered as a perfect fluid given in the co-moving coordinates by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_\mu u_\nu + pg_{\mu\nu}, \tag{15}$$

where $u_\alpha = \delta^0_\alpha$ and $\rho(t)$, $p(t)$ are energy density and isotropic pressure described by the equation of state $p(t) = \omega\rho(t)$. Considering the general time dependent form of the displacement vector $\beta(t)$, the field equations (11) take the form of

$$3\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + 3\frac{k}{a^2} - \frac{3}{4}\beta(t)^2 = \rho(t), \tag{16}$$

$$-2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 - \frac{k}{a^2} - \frac{3}{4}\beta(t)^2 = p(t). \tag{17}$$

Moreover, the continuity equation leads to the following equation

$$\dot{\rho} + \frac{3}{2}\dot{\beta}\beta + 3H\left(\rho + p + \frac{3}{2}\beta^2\right) = 0. \tag{18}$$

By supposing that $\beta(t)$ and $\rho(t)$ are independent quantities and have no interaction with each others, the equation (18) splits into the equations

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$\dot{\beta}\beta + 3H\beta^2 = 0, \tag{20}$$

where the second equation gives the displacement vector $\beta(t)$ in terms of the scale factor $a(t)$ as

$$\beta = \beta_0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3, \tag{21}$$

where $a_0$ and $\beta_0$ are the scale factor and the displacement vector field corresponding to the Einstein static universe. By putting it in equations (16) and (17), we find the field equations as

$$3\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + 3\frac{k}{a^2} - \frac{3}{4}\beta_0^2\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{-6} = \rho, \tag{22}$$

$$-2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 - \frac{k}{a^2} - \frac{3}{4}\beta_0^2\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{-6} = p. \tag{23}$$

# 3 Einstein Static Universe, Scalar Perturbations and Stability Analysis

The Einstein static Universe can be obtained by imposing the conditions $\ddot{a} = \dot{a} = 0$, on the equations (22) and (23) as

$$\rho_0 = 3\frac{k}{a_0^2} - \frac{3}{4}\beta_0^2, \tag{24}$$

$$p_0 = -\frac{k}{a_0^2} - \frac{3}{4}\beta_0^2, \tag{25}$$

where $\rho_0$, $p_0$ and $\beta_0$ are energy density, pressure and displacement vector of the Einstein static universe. Note that the cases $k = -1, 0$ (open and flat models) would imply a perfect fluid violating all the energy conditions as can be easily seen from Eqs.(24) and (25).

In what follows, we first consider the linear homogeneous scalar perturbations around the Einstein static universe, given in equations (24) and (25), and then investigate their stability against these perturbations. The perturbations in the cosmic scale factor $a(t)$ and the energy density $\rho(t)$ depend only on time and can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &\to a_0(1 + \delta a(t)), \\ \rho(t) &\to \rho_0(1 + \delta\rho(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Substituting these equations in equation (22) and using the equation (24), by linearizing the result, leads to the following equation

$$\rho_0\delta\rho = \left(\frac{9}{2}\beta_0^2 - \frac{6k}{a_0^2}\right)\delta a. \tag{27}$$

In addition, applying the above mentioned method on equations (23) and (25) results in

$$\omega\rho_0\delta\rho = -2\delta\ddot{a} + \left(\frac{9}{2}\beta_0^2 + \frac{2k}{a_0^2}\right)\delta a, \tag{28}$$

which by substituting equation (27) in (28) leads to

$$\delta\ddot{a} - \left[(1+3\omega)\frac{k}{a_0^2} + \frac{9}{4}(1-\omega)\beta_0^2\right]\delta a = 0. \tag{29}$$

Thus, for having oscillating perturbation modes representing the existence of a stable Einstein static universe, the following condition should be satisfied

$$(1+3\omega)\frac{k}{a_0^2} + \frac{9}{4}(1-\omega)\beta_0^2 < 0, \tag{30}$$

which gives the following solution for equation (29)

$$\delta a = C_1 e^{iAt} + C_2 e^{-iAt}, \tag{31}$$

where $C_1$ and $C_2$ are integration constants and $A$ is given by

$$A^2 = -(1+3\omega)\frac{k}{a_0^2} - \frac{9}{4}(1-\omega)\beta_0^2. \tag{32}$$

Also, we can extract $\beta_0^2$ by summing equations (24) and (25) as

$$\beta_0^2 = \frac{2}{3}\left(2\frac{k}{a_0^2} - \rho_0(1+\omega)\right), \tag{33}$$

which by substituting in equation (30) leads to

$$\frac{4k}{a_0^2} - \frac{3}{2}\rho_0(1-\omega^2) < 0, \tag{34}$$

so that the range of $\omega$ which results in a stable ESU is given by

$$-\sqrt{1-\frac{8k}{3\rho_0 a_0^2}} < \omega < \sqrt{1-\frac{8k}{3\rho_0 a_0^2}}. \tag{35}$$

This range gives an additional restricting condition for $\rho_0$ in the case of closed universe $k=1$ as $\rho_0 > \frac{8}{3a_0^2}$.

# 4 Vector and Tensor Perturbations and Stability Analysis

Over a cosmological background, the vector perturbations of a perfect fluid having energy density $\rho$ and pressure $p = \omega\rho$ are described by the co-moving dimensionless *vorticity* $\varpi_a = a\varpi$ whose modes satisfy the following propagation equation [23]

$$\dot{\varpi}_\kappa + (1-3c_s^2)H\varpi_\kappa = 0, \tag{36}$$

where $c_s^2 = dp/d\rho$ and $H$ are the sound speed and the Hubble parameter, respectively. This equation is valid in our treatment of Einstein static universe in the Lyra geometry, because our field equations take the form of Friedmann equations whose effective fluid is a combination of two independent matter fluid $\rho(t)$ and geometric fluid $\beta(t)^2$. For the Einstein static universe with $H = 0$, equation (36) reduces to

$$\dot{\varpi}_\kappa = 0, \tag{37}$$

which shows that the initial vector perturbations remain frozen and consequently we have neutral stability against vector perturbations for all equations of state on all scales over the Lyra manifold.

Tensor perturbations, namely gravitational-wave perturbations, of a perfect fluid is described by the co-moving dimensionless transverse-traceless shear $\Sigma_{ab} = a\sigma_{ab}$, whose modes satisfy [23]

$$\ddot{\Sigma}_\kappa + 3H\dot{\Sigma}_\kappa + \left[\frac{\kappa^2}{a^2} + \frac{2k}{a^2} - \frac{(1+3\omega)\rho}{3}\right]\Sigma_\kappa = 0, \tag{38}$$

where $\kappa$ is the co-moving index ($D^2 \to -\kappa^2/a^2$ in which $D^2$ is the covariant spatial Laplacian). Using Eqs.(24), (25) and $p_0 = \omega\rho_0$, one can show that

$$\frac{2k}{a_0^2} = \rho_0(1+\omega) + \frac{3}{2}\beta_0^2 \ . \tag{39}$$

Now, substituting this in the equation (38) applied for the ESU ($H = 0, a = a_0$) results in

$$\ddot{\Sigma}_\kappa + \left[\frac{\kappa^2}{a_0^2} + \frac{2}{3}\rho_0 + \frac{3}{2}\beta_0^2\right]\Sigma_\kappa = 0. \tag{40}$$

This equation represents the general available neutral stability modes for vector and tensor perturbations. The stability of modes, according to the different values of the parameters $k$, and using (39), are obtained as follows:

- For closed universe ($k = 1$), the equation (40) reads as

$$\ddot{\Sigma}_\kappa + \left[\frac{\kappa^2+2}{a_0^2} - \rho_0(\omega + \frac{1}{3})\right]\Sigma_\kappa = 0, \tag{41}$$

  which is stable provided that $\frac{\kappa^2+2}{a_0^2} - \rho_0(\omega + \frac{1}{3}) > 0$. Considering the eigenvalue spectra $\kappa^2 = n(n+2)$ with $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ [24], we find the stability condition as $\frac{n^2+2n+2}{a_0^2} - \rho_0(\omega + \frac{1}{3}) > 0$.

- For flat universe ($k = 0$), the equation (40) reads as

$$\ddot{\Sigma}_\kappa + \left[\frac{\kappa^2}{a_0^2} - \rho_0(\omega + \frac{1}{3})\right]\Sigma_\kappa = 0, \tag{42}$$

  which is stable provided that $\frac{\kappa^2}{a_0^2} - \rho_0(\omega + \frac{1}{3}) > 0$. Considering the eigenvalue spectra $\kappa^2 = n^2$ with $n^2 \geq 0$ [24], we find the stability condition as $\frac{n^2}{a_0^2} - \rho_0(\omega + \frac{1}{3}) > 0$.

- For open universe ($k=-1$) the equation (40) reads as

$$\ddot{\Sigma}_\kappa + \left[\frac{\kappa^2-2}{a_0^2} - \rho_0(\omega+\frac{1}{3})\right]\Sigma_\kappa = 0, \tag{43}$$

which is stable provided that $\frac{\kappa^2-2}{a_0^2} - \rho_0(\omega+\frac{1}{3}) > 0$. Considering the eigenvalue spectra $\kappa^2 = n^2+1$ with $n^2 \geq 0$ [24], we find the stability condition as $\frac{n^2-1}{a_0^2} - \rho_0(\omega+\frac{1}{3}) > 0$.

# 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the existence and the stability conditions of Einstein static universe against homogeneous scalar perturbations in the context of Lyra geometry. The modified field equations have been studied with respect to the perturbations in the cosmic scale factor $a(t)$ and the energy density $\rho(t)$. We obtained the stability condition in terms of the constant equation of state parameter $\omega = p/\rho$ depending on energy density $\rho_0$ and scale factor $a_0$ of the initial Einstein static universe.

We also investigated the stability against vector and tensor perturbations. A general neutral stability is found for the vector perturbations. For the case of tensor perturbations, the neutral stability is attained for closed, flat and open universes provided that some specific conditions are satisfied.

Even though the existence of open and/or flat solutions analogous to the Einstein static Universe of General Relativity is possible in some modified gravity models, in the present modified gravity model it is forbidden by physical considerations regarding the energy conditions of the perfect fluid denoted by $\rho_0$ and $p_0$. Therefore, the stability analysis in the present model should be restricted to the physically viable closed cosmological model.

# Acknowledgment

This work has been supported financially by Research Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics of Maragha (RIAAM) under research project NO.1/3720-1.

# References

[1] H. Weyl, SoB. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin 465 (1918).

[2] G. Lyra, Math. Z. 54, 52 (1951).

[3] E. Scheibe, Math. Z. 57, 65 (1952).

[4] D. K. Sen, Zeitschrift foÃ¼r Physik, 149, 3, 311 (1957).

[5] D. K. Sen and K. A. Dunn, J. Math. Phys. 12, 578 (1971).

[6] W. D. Halford, J. Math. Phys, 13, 11, 1699 (2003).

[7] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. 124, 925 (1961); R. H. Dicke, ibid, 125, 2163 (1962).

[8] W. D. Halford, Australian Journal of Physics, 23, 5, 863 (1970).

[9] G. F. R. Ellis and R. Maartens, Class. Quant. Grav. 21, 223 (2004); G. F. R. Ellis, J. Murugan and C. G. Tsagas, Class. Quant. Grav. 21, 233 (2004); S. Mukherjee, B. C. Paul, N. K. Dadhich, S. D. Maharaj and A. Beesham, Class. Quant. Grav. 23, 6927 (2006).

[10] J. D. Barrow and A. C. Ottewill, J. Phys. A16, 2757 (1983); C. G. Bohmer, L. Hollenstein and F. S. N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D 76, 084005 (2007).

[11] R. Goswami, N. Goheer and P. K. S. Dunsby, Phys. Rev. D 78, 044011 (2008); N. Goheer, R. Goswami, and P. K. S. Dunsby, Class. Quant. Grav. 26, 105003 (2009).

[12] S. S. Seahra and C. G. Bohmer, Phys. Rev. D 79, 064009 (2009).

[13] P. Wu, H. Yu, Phys. Lett. B 703, 223 (2011); J. T. Li, C. C. Lee, C. Q. Geng, Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2315 (2013).

[14] C. G. Boehmer, Class. Quant. Grav. 21, 1119 (2004); K. Atazadeh, J. C. A. P 1406, 020 (2014).

[15] A. Ibrahim and Y. Nutku, Gen. Rel. Grav. 7, 949 (1976); C. G. Boehmer, Gen. Rel. Grav. 36, 1039 (2004); C. G. Bï¿½ohmer and G. Fodor, Phys. Rev. D 77, 064008 (2008); K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D 77, 127502 (2008).

[16] P. Wu, H. Yu, Phys. Rev. D 81, 103522 (2010);C. G. Bohmer, F. S. N. Lobo, Eur. Phys. J. C 70, 1111 (2010).

[17] D. J. Mulryne, R. Tavakol, J. E. Lidsey and G. F. R. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D 71, 123512 (2005); L. Parisi, M. Bruni, R. Maartens and K. Vandersloot, Class. Quant. Grav. 24, 6243 (2007); R. Canonico and L. Parisi, Phys. Rev. D 82, 064005 (2010).

[18] L. Gergely, R. Maartens, Class. Quant. Grav. 19, 213 (2002).

[19] K. Zhang, P. Wu, H. Yu, Phys. Lett. B 690 229 (2010); K. Zhang, P. Wu, H. Yu, Phys. Rev. D 85, 043521 (2012).

[20] K. Atazadeh, Y. Heydarzade, F. Darabi, Phys. Lett. B 732 , 223 (2014).

[21] F. Rahaman, B. C. Bhui, G. Bag, Astrophysics and Space Science, 295, 4, 507-513(2005).

[22] A. Beesham, Aust. J. Phys., 41, 833-42 (1988).

[23] John. D. Barrow, G. F. R. Ellis, R. Maartens, C. G. Tsagas Class.Quant.Grav. 20, 155 (2003); P. K. S. Dunsby, B. A. Basset and G. F. R. Ellis, Class. Quantum. Grav. 14, 1215 (1997); A. D. Challinor, Class. Quantum. Grav. 17, 871 (2000); R. Maartens, C. G. Tsagas, and C. Ungarelli, Phys. Rev. D 63, 123507 (2001).

[24] E. R. Harrison, Rev. Mod. Phys. 39, 862 (1967).